UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

In Re: SONA MOBILE HOLDINGS CORP.,)) 2:12-cv-00252-PMP-RJJ
Debtors.)) _) BK-S-09-14577-BTB
TIMOTHY S. CORY, et al.,	Adv. No. 11-01118-BTB
Plaintiffs,	ORDER
v.)
eBET LIMITED, et al.;)

Defendants.

Before the Court is Defendants eBet Limited and eBet Services Pty, Ltd.'s (collectively "the eBet Defendants") Motion for Leave to Appeal (Doc. #5, Attach. 9), filed with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Nevada on February 14, 2012, and transferred to this Court on March 2, 2012. Plaintiffs Timothy S. Cory, William A. Leonard, and Lenard E. Schwartzer filed a Response (Doc. #7) with this Court on March 2, 2012.

Debtors Sona Mobile Holdings Corp., Sona Innovations, Inc., and Sona Mobile, Inc. filed for voluntary bankruptcy on March 30, 2009. (Amend. Compl. (Bankr. Doc.

#132) at ¶ 1.) Plaintiff Timothy S. Cory is the Chapter 7 Trustee of Sona Mobile Holdings Corp., Plaintiff William A. Leonard is the Chapter 7 Trustee of Sona Innovations, Inc., and Lenard E. Schwartzer is the Chapter 7 Trustee of Sona Mobile, Inc. (Id. ¶¶ 2-4.)

On April 15, 2011, Plaintiffs filed an adversary proceeding against Defendants in bankruptcy court. (Transmittal of Mot. to Withdraw the Reference (Doc. #5), Attach. 3.) The eBet Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss or Transfer Venue based on a forum selection clause in a pre-bankruptcy contract between Sona Mobile Holdings, Corp. and the eBet Defendants. (Id., Attachs. 12-15; Amend. Compl. ¶ 23 & Ex. A.) The bankruptcy court denied the eBet Defendants' Motion to Dismiss or Transfer Venue. (Transmittal of Mot. to Withdraw the Reference, Attach. 6.)

The eBet Defendants filed an Answer, a Motion to Withdraw the Reference, and a Motion for Leave to Appeal to this Court the bankruptcy court's order denying the eBet Defendants' Motion to Dismiss. (<u>Id.</u>, Attachs. 1, 5, & 9.) On October 30, 2012, the Court granted the Motion to Withdraw the Reference. (Order (Doc. #18).) However, the Motion for Leave to Appeal remains pending.

The district court for the district in which the bankruptcy judge is serving has discretion to hear appeals from interlocutory orders of bankruptcy judges. 28 U.S.C. § 158(a); In re City of Desert Hot Springs, 339 F.3d 782, 787 (9th Cir. 2003) ("It is within the discretion of the district court . . . to hear interlocutory appeals." (quotation omitted)). Here, the Court, in its discretion, will grant leave for appeal. After the eBet Defendants moved for leave to appeal, the Court withdrew the reference and now has jurisdiction over the action. Additionally, Defendant Anthony P. Toohey's Motion to Dismiss is pending before the Court and implicates the same issue of whether the forum selection clause should be enforced in this matter. The Court therefore will grant the eBet Defendants' Motion for Leave to Appeal by treating it as a Motion to Dismiss, and the Court will order further briefing regarding the applicability of the forum selection clause.

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendants eBet Limited and eBet	
2	Services Pty, Ltd.'s Motion for Leave to Appeal (Doc. #5, Attach. 9) is hereby GRANTEI	
3	to the extent it will be treated as a Motion to Dismiss.	
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall detach and file	
5	separately as a Motion to Dismiss Defendants eBet Limited and eBet Services Pty, Ltd.'s	
6	Motion for Leave to Appeal (Doc. #5, Attach. 9).	
7	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiffs shall file a responsive brief within	
8	14 days from the date of this Order.	
9	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants eBet Limited and eBet Services	
10	Pty, Ltd. shall file a reply brief within 7 days after service of Plaintiffs' responsive brief.	
11		
12	DATED: January 29, 2013	
13	PHILIP M. PRO	
14	United States District Judge	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		